

## Ask Alabama State Revenue Preferences, Fall 2004

Overall, do you think that taxes in Alabama today are too high, about right, or too low?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Too High	346	34.0	34.0	34.0
About Right	518	50.9	50.9	84.9
Too Low	127	12.5	12.5	97.3
No Opinion	27	2.7	2.7	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

Do you believe that Alabama's tax structure overall is generally fair to all of Alabama's citizens?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	386	37.9	37.9	37.9
No	575	56.5	56.5	94.4
Do Not Know; No Answer	57	5.6	5.6	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

To what extent are you concerned about finding a solution to Alabama's continuing budget shortfalls?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Very Concerned	588	57.8	57.8	57.8
Somewhat Concerned	358	35.2	35.2	92.9
Somewhat Unconcerned	22	2.2	2.2	95.1
Not At All Concerned	31	3.0	3.0	98.1
Do Not Know; No Opinion	19	1.9	1.9	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

Support for Measures to Cut Spending in Alabama: Reducing State Agency Budgets At Least 10%

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Support	228	22.4	22.4	22.4
Mildly Support	314	30.8	30.8	53.2
Mildly Oppose	206	20.2	20.2	73.5
Strongly Oppose	182	17.9	17.9	91.4
Do Not Know; No Opinion	88	8.6	8.6	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

Support for Measures to Cut Spending in Alabama: Reducing Benefits Such as Health Insurance for State Agency Employees

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Support	144	14.1	14.1	14.1
Mildly Support	178	17.5	17.5	31.6
Mildly Oppose	226	22.2	22.2	53.8
Strongly Oppose	418	41.1	41.1	94.9
Do Not Know; No Opinion	52	5.1	5.1	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Cut Spending in Alabama: Reducing State Support for Non-profit Agencies that Provide Seniors with Healthcare or Assisted Living Services**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Support	151	14.8	14.8	14.8
	Mildly Support	140	13.8	13.8	28.6
	Mildly Oppose	197	19.4	19.4	47.9
	Strongly Oppose	495	48.6	48.6	96.6
	Do Not Know; No Opinion	35	3.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Cut Spending in Alabama: Reducing State Support for Senior Citizen Centers that Provide Meals, Recreation, Etc.**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Support	126	12.4	12.4	12.4
	Mildly Support	129	12.7	12.7	25.0
	Mildly Oppose	217	21.3	21.3	46.4
	Strongly Oppose	528	51.9	51.9	98.2
	Do Not Know; No Opinion	18	1.8	1.8	100.0
	Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Cut Spending in Alabama: Reducing State Support for Non-profit Agencies that Provide Services to Children Related to Healthcare & Nutrition**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Support	127	12.5	12.5	12.5
	Mildly Support	105	10.3	10.3	22.8
	Mildly Oppose	190	18.7	18.7	41.5
	Strongly Oppose	576	56.6	56.6	98.0
	Do Not Know; No Opinion	20	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Cut Spending in Alabama: Reducing State Support for Non-profit Agencies that Provide Recreation or After-School Programs for Children**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Support	129	12.7	12.7	12.7
	Mildly Support	163	16.0	16.0	28.7
	Mildly Oppose	261	25.6	25.6	54.3
	Strongly Oppose	436	42.8	42.8	97.2
	Do Not Know; No Opinion	29	2.8	2.8	100.0
	Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Cut Spending in Alabama: Reducing State Support for Private Schools and Universities Such As the Marion Institute and Tuskegee**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Support	235	23.1	23.1	23.1
	Mildly Support	224	22.0	22.0	45.1
	Mildly Oppose	260	25.5	25.5	70.6
	Strongly Oppose	238	23.4	23.4	94.0
	Do Not Know; No Opinion	61	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Cut Spending in Alabama: Laying Off School Teachers**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Support	45	4.4	4.4	4.4
	Mildly Support	46	4.5	4.5	8.9
	Mildly Oppose	149	14.6	14.6	23.6
	Strongly Oppose	745	73.2	73.2	96.8
	Do Not Know; No Opinion	33	3.2	3.2	100.0
	Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Cut Spending in Alabama: Freezing the Hiring of State Highway Patrol Officers?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Support	103	10.1	10.1	10.1
	Mildly Support	96	9.4	9.4	19.5
	Mildly Oppose	208	20.4	20.4	40.0
	Strongly Oppose	569	55.9	55.9	95.9
	Do Not Know; No Opinion	42	4.1	4.1	100.0
	Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Increase State Revenues: Increasing Cigarette and Tobacco Taxes**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Support	503	49.4	49.4	49.4
	Mildly Support	166	16.3	16.3	65.7
	Mildly Oppose	105	10.3	10.3	76.0
	Strongly Oppose	229	22.5	22.5	98.5
	Do Not Know; No Opinion	15	1.5	1.5	100.0
	Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Increase State Revenues: Increasing the State Sales Tax Rate**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Support	77	7.6	7.6	7.6
Mildly Support	196	19.3	19.3	26.8
Mildly Oppose	195	19.2	19.2	46.0
Strongly Oppose	530	52.1	52.1	98.0
Do Not Know; No Opinion	20	2.0	2.0	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Increase State Revenues: Increasing the Rate on State Income Tax for Everyone**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Support	134	13.2	13.2	13.2
Mildly Support	194	19.1	19.1	32.2
Mildly Oppose	203	19.9	19.9	52.2
Strongly Oppose	453	44.5	44.5	96.7
Do Not Know; No Opinion	34	3.3	3.3	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Increase State Revenues: Increasing State Income Tax Rate on Individuals Earning More Than \$75,000**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Support	356	35.0	35.0	35.0
Mildly Support	240	23.6	23.6	58.5
Mildly Oppose	148	14.5	14.5	73.1
Strongly Oppose	245	24.1	24.1	97.2
Do Not Know; No Opinion	29	2.8	2.8	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Increase State Revenues: Adopting a Lottery to Fund Education**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Support	554	54.4	54.4	54.4
Mildly Support	128	12.6	12.6	67.0
Mildly Oppose	64	6.3	6.3	73.3
Strongly Oppose	244	24.0	24.0	97.2
Do Not Know; No Opinion	28	2.8	2.8	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Increase State Revenues: Permitting and Taxing Video Gaming to Fund Education**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Support	488	47.9	47.9	47.9
Mildly Support	205	20.1	20.1	68.1
Mildly Oppose	89	8.7	8.7	76.8
Strongly Oppose	195	19.2	19.2	96.0
Do Not Know; No Opinion	41	4.0	4.0	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Increase State Revenues: Increasing Taxes on Beer, Wine, and Alcohol**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Support	558	54.8	54.8	54.8
Mildly Support	215	21.1	21.1	75.9
Mildly Oppose	85	8.3	8.3	84.3
Strongly Oppose	140	13.8	13.8	98.0
Do Not Know; No Opinion	20	2.0	2.0	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Increase State Revenues: Increasing Property Taxes Overall**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Support	122	12.0	12.0	12.0
Mildly Support	214	21.0	21.0	33.0
Mildly Oppose	170	16.7	16.7	49.7
Strongly Oppose	499	49.0	49.0	98.7
Do Not Know; No Opinion	13	1.3	1.3	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	

**Support for Measures to Increase State Revenues: Increasing Taxes on Non-residential Property**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Strongly Support	307	30.2	30.2	30.2
Mildly Support	254	25.0	25.0	55.1
Mildly Oppose	182	17.9	17.9	73.0
Strongly Oppose	235	23.1	23.1	96.1
Do Not Know; No Opinion	40	3.9	3.9	100.0
Total	1018	100.0	100.0	